metal-organic compounds

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.007$ 

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Diaquabis(1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylato)copper(II)

### Jie Zhu,<sup>a,b</sup> Xian-Hong Yin,<sup>a,b</sup>\* Yu Feng,<sup>a</sup> Zhi-Xing Su<sup>a</sup> and Cui-Wu Lin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Ecological Engineering, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yxhphd@163.com

Received 8 November 2007; accepted 18 November 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.021; wR factor = 0.064; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.4.

In the title compound,  $[Cu(C_3H_2N_3O_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$ , the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom (site symmetry  $\overline{1}$ ) is coordinated by two *N*,*O*-bidentate 1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxyate anions and two water molecules in a distorted *trans*-CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> octahedral arrangement. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked by intermolecular N-H···O and O-H···O hydrogen bonds.

#### **Related literature**

For background, see: Costamagna et al. (1992).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu(C_3H_2N_3O_2)_2(H_2O)_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_r = 323.72 \\ Monoclinic, P2_1/c \\ a = 8.6389 (11) Å \\ b = 9.1819 (12) Å \\ c = 6.9929 (9) Å \\ \beta = 94.212 (2)^{\circ} \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer  $V = 553.19 (12) \text{ Å}^{3}$  Z = 2Mo K\alpha radiation  $\mu = 2.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 (2) K $0.33 \times 0.30 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{min} = 0.455, T_{max} = 0.710$  2601 measured reflections 1003 independent reflections Refinement  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$   $wR(F^2) = 0.064$ S = 1.05

88 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.17 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

971 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Table 1

1003 reflections

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu1-N1	1.9886 (15)	Cu1-O3	2.3985 (12)
Cu1-O1	2.0134 (11)		

Table 2	_	
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N3-H3D\cdots O2^{i}$ $O3-H2W\cdots O2^{ii}$ $O3-H1W\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.86 0.83 0.82	1.89 1.99 2.00	2.7295 (17) 2.7792 (17) 2.8067 (16)	166 159 166
Symmetry codes: $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}.$	(i) - <i>x</i> +	$1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$	(ii) <i>x</i> , –y	$v + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iii)

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20761002). This research was sponsored by the fund of the Talent Highland Research Program of Guangxi University (205121), the Science Foundation of the State Ethnic Affairs Commission (07GX05), the Development Foundation Guangxi Research Institute of Chemical Industry and the Science Foundation of Guangxi University for Nationalities (0409032, 0409012, 0509ZD047).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2650).

#### References

- Costamagna, J., Vargas, J., Latorre, R. & Alvarado, A. (1992). Coord. Chem. Rev. 119, 67–88.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m3167 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807060370]

# Diaquabis(1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylato)copper(II)

# J. Zhu, X.-H. Yin, Y. Feng, C.-W. Lin and Z.-X. Su

# Comment

Copper coordination chemistry may play a role in catalysis, enzymatic reactions, magnetism and molecular architectures (Costamagna *et al.*, 1992). We report here the synthesis and structure of the title compound, (I).

The neutral complex is buily up from a central copper(II) ion (site symmetry  $\overline{I}$ ), two bidentate ligands and two coordinated water molecules (Fig. 1). The Cu(II) atom is a distorted octahedral environment (Table 1), doubtless arising from a Jahn-Teller distortion.

A network of N—H…O and O—H…O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) helps to establish the packing.

# **Experimental**

1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylic acid (2 mmol, 226 mg) was dissolved in distilled water (25 ml) and CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mmol, 171 mg) dissolved in 5-ml distilled water was added with stirring at 323 K. The resulting blue solution was allowed to react for three hours and was then filtered. Blue blocks of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation of a water solution over a period of one month (yield 85%). Anal. Calcd (%) for  $C_6H_8Cu_N6_O6$ : C 22.26; H 2.49; Cu 19.63; N 25.96; O (by difference) 29.66. Found (%): C 22.31; H 2.51; Cu 19.59; N 25.91; O (by difference) 29.62.

#### Refinement

All the H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (C—H = 0.93 Å, N—H = 0.86 Å, O—H = 0.82 Å) and refined as riding with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C, N)$  or  $1.5U_{eq}(O)$ .

#### Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids (H atoms omitted for clarity). Unlabelled atoms are generated by the symmetry operation (2 - x, -y, 1 - z).



Fig. 2. Crystal packing of (I) showing the donor…acceptor hydrogen bond interactions as dashed lines.

# Diaquabis(1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylato)copper(II)

 $F_{000} = 326$ 

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ 

 $\theta = 3.2 - 28.3^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 2.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

 $0.33 \times 0.30 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

Block, blue

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.943 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Kα radiation

Cell parameters from 2439 reflections

#### Crystal data

 $[Cu(C_3H_2N_3O_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$  $M_r = 323.72$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 8.6389 (11) Å b = 9.1819 (12) Å c = 6.9929 (9) Å  $\beta = 94.212 \ (2)^{\circ}$  $V = 553.19 (12) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2

#### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer	1003 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	971 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.007$
T = 293(2)  K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}$
ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 3.2^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.455, \ T_{\max} = 0.710$	$k = -10 \rightarrow 11$
2601 measured reflections	$l = -8 \rightarrow 3$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.064$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0456P)^2 + 0.1844P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
1003 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.17 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
88 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	Extinction correction: none

methods

# Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)

are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2 \operatorname{sigma}(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and *R*factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Cu1	1.0000	0.0000	0.5000	0.02470 (15)
01	0.92210 (12)	0.20569 (11)	0.52024 (16)	0.0270 (3)
O2	0.72248 (12)	0.34931 (12)	0.42677 (17)	0.0288 (3)
O3	0.89522 (14)	-0.07762 (13)	0.79148 (17)	0.0370 (3)
H1W	0.9586	-0.1295	0.8522	0.055*
H2W	0.8583	-0.0138	0.8580	0.055*
N1	0.78961 (17)	-0.03174 (15)	0.3716 (2)	0.0255 (3)
N2	0.56189 (16)	0.08059 (16)	0.2954 (2)	0.0269 (3)
N3	0.55537 (17)	-0.06294 (17)	0.2464 (2)	0.0292 (3)
H3D	0.4746	-0.1055	0.1932	0.035*
C1	0.78598 (17)	0.22851 (16)	0.4437 (2)	0.0217 (3)
C2	0.70511 (17)	0.09383 (17)	0.3694 (2)	0.0215 (3)
C3	0.69045 (19)	-0.12872 (18)	0.2918 (2)	0.0290 (4)
НЗА	0.7119	-0.2265	0.2710	0.035*

Atomic displacement parameters $(A^2)$						
$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$	
0.0157 (2)	0.0158 (2)	0.0405 (2)	0.00221 (8)	-0.01208 (13)	-0.00245 (9)	
0.0196 (6)	0.0192 (5)	0.0403 (6)	0.0012 (4)	-0.0109 (5)	-0.0031 (4)	
0.0213 (6)	0.0191 (6)	0.0447 (6)	0.0037 (4)	-0.0061 (5)	-0.0005 (5)	
0.0381 (7)	0.0290 (6)	0.0429 (7)	0.0112 (5)	-0.0027 (5)	0.0045 (5)	
0.0193 (7)	0.0187 (6)	0.0371 (7)	0.0003 (6)	-0.0078 (6)	-0.0006 (6)	
	<i>uent parameters (</i> <i>U</i> <sup>11</sup> 0.0157 (2) 0.0196 (6) 0.0213 (6) 0.0381 (7) 0.0193 (7)	$U^{11}$ $U^{22}$ $0.0157$ (2) $0.0158$ (2) $0.0196$ (6) $0.0192$ (5) $0.0213$ (6) $0.0191$ (6) $0.0381$ (7) $0.0290$ (6) $0.0193$ (7) $0.0187$ (6)	ment parameters ( $Å^2$ ) $U^{11}$ $U^{22}$ $U^{33}$ $0.0157$ (2) $0.0158$ (2) $0.0405$ (2) $0.0196$ (6) $0.0192$ (5) $0.0403$ (6) $0.0213$ (6) $0.0191$ (6) $0.0447$ (6) $0.0381$ (7) $0.0290$ (6) $0.0429$ (7) $0.0193$ (7) $0.0187$ (6) $0.0371$ (7)	ment parameters ( $Å^2$ ) $U^{11}$ $U^{22}$ $U^{33}$ $U^{12}$ $0.0157$ (2) $0.0158$ (2) $0.0405$ (2) $0.00221$ (8) $0.0196$ (6) $0.0192$ (5) $0.0403$ (6) $0.0012$ (4) $0.0213$ (6) $0.0191$ (6) $0.0447$ (6) $0.0037$ (4) $0.0381$ (7) $0.0290$ (6) $0.0429$ (7) $0.0112$ (5) $0.0193$ (7) $0.0187$ (6) $0.0371$ (7) $0.0003$ (6)	ment parameters ( $\mathring{A}^2$ ) $U^{11}$ $U^{22}$ $U^{33}$ $U^{12}$ $U^{13}$ 0.0157 (2)0.0158 (2)0.0405 (2)0.00221 (8) $-0.01208 (13)$ 0.0196 (6)0.0192 (5)0.0403 (6)0.0012 (4) $-0.0109 (5)$ 0.0213 (6)0.0191 (6)0.0447 (6)0.0037 (4) $-0.0061 (5)$ 0.0381 (7)0.0290 (6)0.0429 (7)0.0112 (5) $-0.0027 (5)$ 0.0193 (7)0.0187 (6)0.0371 (7)0.0003 (6) $-0.0078 (6)$	

# supplementary materials

N2	0.0195 (7)	0.0242 (7)	0.0362 (7)	0.0000 (6)	-0.0050 (5)	0.0004 (6)
N3	0.0196 (7)	0.0251 (8)	0.0416 (7)	-0.0064 (6)	-0.0082 (6)	-0.0032 (6)
C1	0.0182 (7)	0.0201 (7)	0.0263 (7)	0.0011 (6)	-0.0014 (6)	0.0007 (6)
C2	0.0152 (7)	0.0208 (7)	0.0274 (7)	0.0015 (6)	-0.0046 (6)	0.0023 (6)
C3	0.0235 (8)	0.0213 (8)	0.0407 (9)	-0.0011 (6)	-0.0080 (7)	-0.0028 (7)
Geometric paran	neters (Å, °)					
Cu1—N1		1.9886 (15)	О3—Н	2W	0.82	265
Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>		1.9886 (15)	N1—C	3	1.33	30 (2)
Cu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		2.0134 (11)	N1—C	2	1.30	64 (2)
Cu1—O1		2.0134 (11)	N2—C	2	1.3	11 (2)
Cu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>		2.3985 (12)	N2—N	3	1.30	62 (2)
Cu1—O3		2.3985 (12)	N3—C	3	1.33	32 (2)
O1—C1		1.2732 (19)	N3—H	3D	0.80	500
O2—C1		1.2392 (19)	C1—C	2	1.49	95 (2)
O3—H1W		0.8200	С3—Н	3A	0.93	300
N1—Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>		180.0	H1W-	-O3—H2W	113	.0
$N1$ — $Cu1$ — $O1^{1}$		97.47 (5)	C3—N	1—C2	103	.50 (14)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		82.53 (5)	C3—N	1—Cu1	145	.78 (12)
N1—Cu1—O1		82.53 (5)	C2—N	1—Cu1	110	.60 (11)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1		97.47 (5)	C2—N	2—N3	102	.09 (13)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1		180.0	C3—N	3—N2	111	.09 (13)
N1—Cu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>		92.83 (5)	C3—N	3—H3D	124	
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>		87.16 (5)	N2—N	3—H3D	124	
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>		94.01 (4)	O2—C	1—01	125	.18 (14)
O1—Cu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>		85.99 (4)	O2—C	1—C2	121	.00 (13)
N1—Cu1—O3		87.17 (5)	01—0	1—C2	113	.81 (12)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O3		92.84 (5)	N2—C	2—N1	114	.50 (14)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O3		85.99 (4)	N2—C	2—C1	128	.12 (14)
O1—Cu1—O3		94.01 (4)	N1—C	2—C1	117	.34 (13)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O3		180.0	N1—C	3—N3	108	.82 (15)
C1—O1—Cu1		115.34 (9)	N1—C	3—НЗА	125	.6
Cu1—O3—H1W		109.5	N3—C	3—НЗА	125	.6
Cu1—O3—H2W		116.9				
N1—Cu1—O1—O	21	-2.24 (11)	Cu1—	D1—C1—C2	5.40	0 (15)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1—	C1	177.76 (11)	N3—N	2—C2—N1	-0	33 (17)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1—	C1	91.15 (10)	N3—N	2—C2—C1	177	.42 (15)
O3—Cu1—O1—O	21	-88.85 (10)	C3—N	1—C2—N2	0.38	8 (19)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—	C3	3.0 (2)	Cu1—	N1—C2—N2	-17	6.85 (11)
O1—Cu1—N1—O	23	-177.0 (2)	C3—N	1—C2—C1	-17	7.63 (13)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—	C3	97.4 (2)	Cu1—	N1—C2—C1	5.14	4 (17)
O3—Cu1—N1—O	23	-82.6 (2)	02—0	1—C2—N2	-5.	8 (2)
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—	C2	178.22 (11)	01—C	1—C2—N2	175	.09 (15)
O1—Cu1—N1—O	22	-1.78 (11)	O2—C	1—C2—N1	171	.92 (14)

O3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C2	-87.35 (11)	01-C1-C2-N1	-7.2	21 (19)			
O3—Cu1—N1—C2	92.65 (11)	C2—N1—C3—N3	-0.2	25 (18)			
C2—N2—N3—C3	0.15 (17)	Cu1—N1—C3—N3	175	.13 (16)			
Cu1—O1—C1—O2	-173.69 (12)	N2—N3—C3—N1	0.07 (19)				
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, -y, -z+1$ .							
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)							
D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D··· $A$	D—H···A			
N3—H3D····O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	1.89	2.7295 (17)	166			
O3—H2W···O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.83	1.99	2.7792 (17)	159			
O3—H1W···O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.82	2.00	2.8067 (16)	166			
Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1$ , $y-1/2$ , $-z+1/2$ ; (iii) $x$ , $-y+1/2$ , $z+1/2$ ; (iv) $-x+2$ , $y-1/2$ , $-z+3/2$ .							



Fig. 1

